NON-PUBLIC?: N

ACCESSION #: 9403070401

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME: Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 PAGE: 1 OF 4

DOCKET NUMBER: 05000440

TITLE: Manual Reactor Scram Following Reactor Recirculation Pump

**Automatic Downshift** 

EVENT DATE: 01/28/94 LER #: 94-002-00 REPORT DATE: 02/28/94

OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED: DOCKET NO: 05000

OPERATING MODE: 1 POWER LEVEL: 100

THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR

SECTION: 50.73(a)(2)(iv)

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER:

NAME: Denzel A. Housley, Compliance TELEPHONE: (216) 280-5520

Engineer

COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTION:

CAUSE: X SYSTEM: JB COMPONENT: RLY MANUFACTURER: B045

REPORTABLE NPRDS: N

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED: NO

## ABSTRACT:

On January 28, 1994 at 1944, both Reactor Recirculation system pumps automatically downshifted from fast speed to slow speed. Due to the decrease in core flow, reactor parameters entered the region of potential instability on the power to flow map. In accordance with plant procedures, the control room operator initiated a manual scram at 1945 with reactor power level at 47 percent. No power oscillations indicating core power instability were observed while within the instability region of the power to flow map.

The cause of this event was an equipment failure. A relay on alarm card 1C34-K618B failed open causing the low feedwater flow relays to initiate a recirculation pump downshift. The cause of the relay failure could not be determined. A review of the failure history for this model card did not identify any generic concerns or adverse failure trends. As a result

of this event, the alarm card with the failed relay and the alarm card in the redundant trip system were replaced. Two other alarm cards of the same model that could cause a recirculation pump downshift were also replaced.

## END OF ABSTRACT

## **TEXT PAGE 2 OF 4**

### I. Introduction

On January 28, 1994 at 1945, a manual reactor scram was initiated in accordance with plant procedures following an automatic downshift of both Reactor Recirculation system AD! pumps from fast to slow speed. Prior to the downshift of the recirculation pumps, the plant was in operational Condition 1 at 100% rated thermal power. The reactor vessel pressure was approximately 1020 psig. On January 28 at 2142, notification was made to the NRC as required by 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(ii). This event is being reported under the requirement of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

## II. Description of Event

On January 28, 1994 at 1944, both Reactor Recirculation system pumps automatically downshifted from fast speed to low speed. Control room operators entered off Normal Instruction (ONI) B33-2, "Loss of One or Both Recirculation Pumps." Due to the decrease in core flow, reactor parameters entered the region of potential instability on the power to flow map. In accordance with the immediate actions of ONI-B33-2, the control room operator initiated a manual Reactor Protection System (RPS) trip signal (scram) at 1945 with reactor power level at 47 percent. No power oscillations indicating core power instability were observed while within the instability region of the power to flow map.

Following the scram, reactor water level momentarily dropped below Level 3 (177.7 inches above the top of active fuel) due to void collapse and was recovered automatically by the feedwater system. The lowest reactor vessel water level reached during this transient was 147 inches above the top of active fuel. The RPS trip signal was reset at 2008. Plant shutdown was then continued in accordance with normal operating instructions.

On January 29, 1994 during the performance of control rod maximum scram insertion time data collection during the scram, two control rods were identified with scram insertion times to notch position

1431 greater than the limits specified by Technical Specification 3.1.3.2. Reactor vessel pressure at the time of the scram was one psig less than the 950 psig minimum required to validate the test results; however, corrective actions were initiated based upon the slow times. The scram pilot solenoid valves for the two control rods with slow scram insertion times were replaced as a result of the evaluation of the insertion times. During the subsequent reactor startup, the two control rods were retested satisfactorily.

### TEXT PAGE 3 OF 4

Investigation of the recirculation pump downshift began by reviewing data from a time events recorder. The time events recorder had been installed following a recirculation pump downshift event on July 9, 1993 (see Similar Events) to monitor all relays which could cause a recirculation pump downshift. This data indicated that the downshift signal was initiated by the relays for the low feedwater flow recirculation pump downshift trips. Review of the feedwater

flow instrumentation during the event determined that an actual low feedwater flow had not existed. The cause of the downshift trip was isolated as a failed relay coil (K1) RLY! on alarm card 1C34-K618B (Manufacturer: Bailey meter, Model 745 dual alarm card) in the Feedwater Control system JB!. This alarm card along with the associated alarm card in the redundant trip system (1C34-K618A) were replaced and successfully retested prior to reactor restart. Additionally, alarms cards 1C34-K626A & B which initiate a recirculation pump downshift on low reactor water level were replaced. These cards were the same model as the failed card and a failure on one card could cause a recirculation pump downshift.

Plant startup was commenced on January 30, 1994 and Operational Mode 2 (Startup) was entered at 0507.

### III. Cause of Event

The cause of this event was an equipment failure. The K1 relay on alarm card 1C34-K618B failed open causing the low feedwater flow relays to initiate a recirculation pump downshift. The cause of the relay failure could not be determined. A review of the failure history for this model card did not identify any generic concerns or adverse failure trends.

## IV. Safety Analysis

The Reactor Recirculation system consists of two parallel

recirculation pump loops external to the reactor vessel. These loops provide the piping path for the driving flow of water to the reactor vessel jet pumps. Control interlocks are provided for the recirculation pumps to automatically downshift the pump from fast to slow speed. These controls are provided to prevent cavitation in reactor recirculation system components and mitigate the effects of various operational transients on reactor water level and reactivity.

At the time of the reactor recirculation pump downshift in this event, actual feedwater flow was above the low flow setpoint. With the reactor at full power initially, the decrease in core flow due to the recirculation pump downshift brought the reactor into the region of potential instability of the power to flow map. No indication of power oscillation or other instability

### TEXT PAGE 4 OF 4

was identified during this event. The reactor was manually tripped to exit the region of potential instability of the power to flow map in accordance with plant procedures and the plant shutdown was completed. This event is not considered to be safety significant.

### V. Similar Events

LER 93-015 documents a previous manual RPS trip on July 9, 1993 following the downshift of the recirculation pumps. During this event, both recirculation pumps unexpectedly downshifted to slow speed and the reactor was manually scrammed after reactor parameters entered the region of potential instability of the power to flow map. Investigation of this event determined that the most probable cause was a failure of both Reactor Recirculation system pump suction temperature resistance temperature detectors (RTD). As part of the corrective actions for the event, a time events analyzer was installed to monitor the downshift circuitry. Following this most recent event, the July 9 downshift event was re-evaluated to determine if the alarm card relay failure had caused or contributed to that event. Because the low feed flow circuit had been functionally checked following the July 9 event and since the recent event was due to a complete failure of the alarm card, it was concluded that the cause of the two events were unrelated.

### VI. Corrective Actions

As a result of this event, the following corrective actions were performed.

- 1. The alarm card with the failed relay (1C34-K618B) and the alarm card in the redundant trip system (1C34-K618A) were replaced. Two other alarm cards of the same model that could cause a recirculation pump downshift were also replaced.
- 2. The scram pilot solenoid valves for the two control rods with slow scram insertion times were replaced and the scram insertion times were retested satisfactorily during reactor startup.

No further corrective actions are planned.

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as XX!.

ATTACHMENT TO 9403070401 PAGE 1 OF 1

CENTERIOR ENERGY

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT Mail Address: Robert A. Stratman P.O. Box 97 VICE PRESIDENT - 10 CENTER ROAD PERRY, OHIO 44081 NUCLEAR PERRY, OHIO 44081 (216) 259-3737

February 28, 1994 PY-CEI/NRR-1764 L

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555 Perry Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-440 LER 94-002-00

## Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 94-002-00 concerning a Manual Reactor Scram Following Reactor Recirculation Pumps Automatic Downshift.

If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Henry Hegrat - Regulatory Affairs at (216) 280-5606.

Very truly yours,

# RAS:DAH:sc

Enclosure: LER 94-002-00

cc: NRC Project Manager NRC Resident Inspector Office NRC Region III

Operating Companies Cleveland Electric Illuminating Toledo Edison

\*\*\* END OF DOCUMENT \*\*\*